

# Feasibility of spirometer-guided single breath-hold kV-CBCTs on Halcyon in lung cancer patients

#### Laurence Delombaerde<sup>1\*</sup>, Tom Depuydt<sup>1,2</sup>, Patrick Berkovic<sup>1,2</sup>, Maarten Lambrecht<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>KU Leuven - University of Leuven, Department of Oncology, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium <sup>2</sup>University Hospitals Leuven, Department of Radiation Oncology, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

contact: laurence.delombaerde@kuleuven.be

#### Purpose

The ITV approach for lung cancer results in high volumes of healthy lung being exposed to high doses. Breath-hold techniques limit tumor motion, however a reproducible tumor position has to be guaranteed. In this study we determined the **inter-** and **intra**-fraction **tumor position reproducibility** with single breathhold CBCTs on the Halcyon linac using the **SDX spirometer** (Dyn'R).

## Conclusion

Our study shows good inter and intra fraction tumor position reproducibility for spirometer guided breath-holds. The dosimetric impact is under investigation.

# Methods

8 locally advanced NSCLC patients recieved a spirometer coaching session

3 patients excluded: inability to maintain breath-hold (2x), arm position prohibiting spirometer (1x)

- 5 patients had one additional CT scan in deep-inspiration breath-hold (DIBH)
- During 4 fractions 2 CBCTs were acquired in breath-hold (before and after treatment):

Standard Fx	Fx 2,6,11,16	
CBCT	PRE-CBCT	

6 DoF vertebrae PRE-CBCT to DIBH CT followed by 3 DoF tumor registration
 → difference is the inter fraction variability





- 6 DoF vertebrae PRE-CBCT to POST-CBCT followed by 3 DoF tumor registration
  → difference is the intra fraction variability
- kV-CBCT protocol: 17 sec. aquisition

## Results

• Lung volume increased median 2700 cc (range 1160 cc – 2861 cc) above baseline breathing.

Free breathing

DIBH



 All CBCTs for all patients were performed in a single breath-hold even under concomittant chemotherapy.

• Tumor delineation is much improved over

### free breathing acquisitions.

INTRA	ML (mm)	AP (mm)	CC (mm)
Systematic <b>S</b>	0.7	0.7	0.9
Random o	0.7	1.1	1.4

INTER	ML (mm)	AP (mm)	CC (mm)
Systematic <b>S</b>	0.6	0.9	1.4
Random o	0.9	2.0	2.9



Physics track: Intra-fraction motion management

Laurence Delombaerde

DOI: 10.3252/pso.eu.ESTRO2020.2020

Poster presented at: ESTRO

